**2026 Supplemental Capital Request**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| College: |  |  | Project title: |  |
| Summary description (2-3 sentences): | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| Site address: |  |  | Legislative Districts: |  |
| State Funds | $ |  |  |  |
| Local funds | $ |  |  | |
| COP funds | $ |  |
| Other funds | $ |  |
| Project total: | $ |  |

**Project Questions/Narrative for CBS**

1. What is the problem/opportunity? Identify: priority, underserved people/communities, operating budget savings, public safety improvements & clarifying details. Preservation projects: include information about the current condition of the facility/system.

*Suggested content: This request is a step towards satisfying the requirements of HB 1390, CBPS Annex W and replacing aged district heating infrastructure with equipment that generates significantly fewer combustion and greenhouse gas emissions.*

1. What will the request produce or construct (predesign/design of a building, additional space, etc.)? When will the project start/end? Identify if the project can be phased, and if so, which phase is included in the request. Provide detailed cost backup.
2. How would the request address the problem or opportunity identified in question 1? What would be the result of not taking action?

*Suggested content: This request directly responds to the requirements of the CBPS, Annex W and supports an operational transition from continued, long-term investments in equipment/systems with high GHG emissions. Not taking action will be a failure to implement the plan developed and submitted under the requirements of the CBPS.*

1. What alternatives were explored? Why was the recommended alternative chosen? Be prepared to provide detailed cost backup. If this project has an associated predesign, please summarize the alternatives the predesign considered.

*Suggested framing: The decarbonization plan defines the best available solution(s) allowable within the constraints defined in WAC 194-50-170 (no more than 10% of annual heating load to be satisfied by fossil fuel combustion or electric resistance heating).*

1. Which clientele would be impacted by the budget request? Where and how many units would be added, people or communities served, etc.

1. Does this project or program leverage non-state funding? If yes, how much by source? If the other funding source requires cost share, also include the minimum state (or other) share of project cost allowable and the supporting citation or documentation.
2. Describe how this project supports the agency’s strategic master plan or would improve agency performance. Reference feasibility studies, master plans, space programming and other analyses as appropriate.
3. Does this project include funding for any Information Technology related costs, including hardware, software, cloud-based services, contracts or staff? If yes, attach IT addendum.
4. If the project is linked to the Puget Sound Action Agenda, describe the impacts on the Action Agenda, including expenditure and FTE detail. See Chapter 12 Puget Sound Recovery) in the 2021-23 Operating Budget Instructions.
5. How does this project contribute to meeting the greenhouse gas emissions limits established in RCW 70A.45.050, Clean Buildings performance standards in RCW 19.27A.210, or other statewide goals to reduce carbon pollution and/or improve efficiency? For buildings subject to the clean buildings performance standards, describe your compliance pathway for the building, and include information about energy audits, metering, and energy benchmarking.

*Suggested inclusion: re. RCW 70A.45.050, check with Scott at the State Board for your college’s 2005 GHG benchmark data (everyone except Clark, sorry, Ecology didn’t have any reports from them).*

1. How does this project impact equity in the state? Which communities are impacted by this proposal? Include both demographic and geographic communities. How are disparities in communities impacted?

*NOTES: The state has published three different maps of “overburdened communities” and environmental disparities. One is maintained by OFM, one by Ecology, and one by the Department of Health. Both Ecology and OFM say that their’s supports CCA funding priorities, but it isn’t entirely clear which list is the priority for CCA funding. Many of our colleges sit within an identified zone. It’s worth defining your college’s affected community.*

*Skagit Valley, Clark, Highline, and Tacoma are all within the OFM-defined community areas.*

*Clark and Highline sit within the ECY-defined community areas.*

*DOH lists relative disparities for all areas of the state.*

*OFM* [*https://geo.wa.gov/datasets/e0074300efda47efa6b01e6236bcfe48\_0/explore?location=47.248976%2C-122.519533%2C14.96*](https://geo.wa.gov/datasets/e0074300efda47efa6b01e6236bcfe48_0/explore?location=47.248976%2C-122.519533%2C14.96)

*ECY*

[*https://ecology.wa.gov/air-climate/climate-commitment-act/overburdened-communities*](https://ecology.wa.gov/air-climate/climate-commitment-act/overburdened-communities)

*DOH*

[*https://fortress.wa.gov/doh/wtnibl/WTNIBL/*](https://fortress.wa.gov/doh/wtnibl/WTNIBL/)

1. Is this project eligible for Direct Pay?

*See OFM’s page for guidance:* [*https://ofm.wa.gov/accounting/administrative-accounting-resources/federal/direct-pay*](https://ofm.wa.gov/accounting/administrative-accounting-resources/federal/direct-pay)

1. Is there additional information you would like decision makers to know when evaluating this request?

*Where applicable, please point to any fossil fuel-fired equipment identified in this project that is on the verge of failure and the probable practice of replacing like for like and the usable life span on such new equipment to illustrate the potential delay in decarbonization if the project isn’t funded.*

1. If the project is linked to the Governor’s Salmon Strategy provide an explanation of how the budget request relates to a salmon strategy action, is urgent in the coming biennium to advance salmon recovery, is aligned with a federally approved salmon recovery plan, and/or advances a known tribal priority.

Attachments:

* Project budget, C-100 form
* [Expected use of bond/COP proceeds](https://ofm.wa.gov/sites/default/files/public/budget/forms/2025-27/ExpectedUseBondCopProceedsForm2025-27.doc) (Tax-exempt bond financing questionnaire)
* DAHP: [EZ Project Form](https://dahp.wa.gov/sites/default/files/EZ%20PROJECT%20FORM%20-%202102%20fillable.pdf) and DAHP response <https://dahp.wa.gov/project-review/ez-project-review-form>